

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/069,099

Applicant(s)

LOWE ET AL.

Examiner

Ernest G. Therkorn

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) David R. Saliwanchik.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 18 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

31-33

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed the changes listed in the examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance. David R. Saliwanchik then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)